



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,441	05/03/2001	Sandeep K. Singhal	6020.0100	7820

7590 11/30/2005  
MARCIA L. DOUBET  
P.O. BOX 422859  
KISSIMMEE, FL 34742-2859

EXAMINER
----------

DAO, MINH D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2682

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/848,441		SINGHAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MINH D. DAO		2682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MINH D. DAO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) RIMMA BUDNITSKAYA. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 14 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stewart (US 6,452,498), Calvert (US 6,526,275).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agrees that the new proposed, as indicated below, in the last paragraph of claims 1 and 14 ("host name located within the same building structure that the mobile client") overcomes cited references Stewart and Calvert. However, examiner states that the proposed amendment will not be entered since it raises new issue.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 11/21/05

**QUOCHIEN B. VUONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required